

# Apple Tree Close Consultation Results

## Question 1

Do you support the building of new homes in Chudleigh Knighton?

|     | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|-----|-----------|------------|
| YES | 24        | 37%        |
| NO  | 41        | 63%        |

## Question 2

Do you support the building of new homes at the Apple Tree Close site?

|     | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|-----|-----------|------------|
| YES | 13        | 20%        |
| NO  | 52        | 80%        |

## Question 3

Do you support in principle this proposed development at Apple Tree Close? (e.g. size, location, greenfield site, exception site in the Local Plan)

|            | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|------------|-----------|------------|
| YES        | 9         | 14%        |
| NO         | 51        | 78%        |
| DON'T KNOW | 5         | 8%         |

## Question 4

Would the development propose the right number of new homes? (40 homes)

|                  | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|------------------|-----------|------------|
| NOT ENOUGH       | 1         | 2%         |
| THE RIGHT AMOUNT | 6         | 9%         |
| TOO MANY         | 53        | 82%        |
| DON'T KNOW       | 5         | 8%         |

## Question 5

Do you think the first priority for affordable homes should be a person who currently lives in the parish or has a strong local connection?

|     | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|-----|-----------|------------|
| YES | 58        | 89%        |
| NO  | 7         | 11%        |

### Question 6

Is the proposed provision of green space acceptable? (e.g. location, type and size)

|     | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|-----|-----------|------------|
| YES | 15        | 23%        |
| NO  | 50        | 77%        |

### Question 7

Would you live in the proposed development?

|     | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|-----|-----------|------------|
| YES | 7         | 11%        |
| NO  | 58        | 89%        |

### Question 8

Considering Hennock Parish Council is able to 'call-in' planning applications, should Hennock Parish Council ensure this application is determined by Teignbridge District Council's Planning Committee?

|            | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|------------|-----------|------------|
| YES        | 23        | 35%        |
| NO         | 11        | 17%        |
| DON'T KNOW | 31        | 48%        |

### Question 9

When commenting on this application, should Hennock Parish Council support or object to this application?

|            | RESPONSES | PERCENTAGE |
|------------|-----------|------------|
| SUPPORT    | 10        | 15%        |
| OBJECT     | 50        | 77%        |
| DON'T KNOW | 5         | 8%         |

### Question 10

Open Response Box – Anything else you wish to tell Hennock Parish Council about your views on this application. These responses are taken from the online survey in their entirety.

1. The village has to rely on external services ie GP practices and other resources that are already over subscribed and unable to cope with an increase in population. The amount of traffic that travels through the village has increased and has resulted in often dangerous driving at speed and the amount of on street parking creates a hazard to pedestrians and other road users. It is doubtful that the village or the surrounding area would benefit from the use of a green field site to increase the housing stock without improvement to the existing infrastructure
2. We do not need more homes within the village Already to much ( speeding traffic ) through the village Unsafe path ways / crossings /walks around the village and to school Crossing / lollipop

person desperately required School shall struggle with additional children Not enough parking in the village at present Loosing more green space / homes to wildlife Not required.

3. I am happy to support new homes in the area, but the congestion from cars coming from Bovey Tracey to use the slip road for Exeter already makes things difficult, and adding these homes would make the situation in the village even worse.
4. Chudleigh Knighton has but one shop, a pub and a very small primary school with precious few employment opportunities. So town enlargement seems misguided. Should the site go ahead, the footpath provision up to the singular shop is inadequate for parents with young children, and pretty much any form of active transport. It is inappropriate to make use of a greenfield site for housing, there are other options in other A38 corridor towns.
5. 40 new homes = 80 more cars driving through the village is that what everyone wants ???
6. When the village is looking to be expanded I feel that the need for a larger school is completely overlooked! Our school is tiny with no space to expand. 40 new homes could attract many more pupils which the school won't be able to space. Also for current villagers with children due to start the school in coming years, will this affect our children getting a space if the numbers grow too quickly? This proposed development of 40 homes and the proposed development down the other end of the village of 60 homes could potentially mean our school won't have enough space and with other schools being a good 5-10 minute drive or bus journey it just isn't possible for some families! Please consider this factor!
7. The traffic in the village is already at breaking point during busy hours adding an extra 40 homes at apple tree then the proposed houses at the orchard will cause a lot of stress as the village is only small. The access road to apple tree close is small and roads straight onto the main road think it will cause traffic and issues for people who live in the village. If development goes ahead all houses should be affordable! Just like the houses on apple tree close currently are!
8. I am concerned about vehicle access at this location, increasing traffic through this village, increased risk of road accidents for children and elderly - the damage to wildlife habitats in this specific area, the reduction in green space in the village, increased air pollution from increased traffic, increased flooding on the road by the play park and insufficient amenities for an increased population - eg schools, recreation and medical services (the local GPs already struggle to cope with increased demand).
9. The proposal is on an exception site outside village boundary. Insufficient infrastructure and amenities in the village. Majority of households would have to commute by car to work, leisure and shopping. Vehicle access is poor, through existing development with small children playing in what is currently effectively a cul-de-sac. Visibility is poor onto main B3344 were cars frequently exceed speed limit increases danger to children crossing from Play Park opposite. Increase risk of flooding main road from run off from development, Apple Tree Close development has already increased frequency of area near stream flooding. Environmental pressure on nearby SSSI with increase in dog walkers - current ownership 25% households/ 1.4 dogs per household.

10. There is at present a large housing estate being built on the edge of Chudleigh.(Less than 5 miles away). If more houses are built at Chudleigh Knighton we need to consider the pressure that this will cause on schools , doctors, sewage treatment, water NHS services etc .
11. The access provisions for this development are not sufficient. Increasing traffic along an already busy road past the primary school seems extremely dangerous and contrary to established development practice.
12. Parking is already a problem in chudleigh knighton. Don't need more cars. Definitely do not want any social housing . What happened to it being a bat site ?
13. stop building in chudleigh knighton it's a small village and that's what people like
14. I have already submitted an objection to this proposal on the grounds of safety (there is no footpath on a blind junction by the village shops), ecological damage ( I record the flora and fauna in this area throughout the year and disagree with the conclusions in the supporting reports) and also because this is outside of the local plan. There is an alternative planning proposal to build homes by Finlake and many other local developments so an additional development such as this is unnecessary.
15. Chudleigh Knighton cannot cope with the extra traffic that this and the other development will create. I have lived in this village for many years and have never known the traffic through the village as bad as it is now both as a pedestrian and a driver. The village is being spoilt.
16. Keep our green spaces and village small
17. We would love to move back to the village as we had o move out due to there being no houses to rent
18. I moved here because it was a lovely village but now its over populated not enough facilities parking has become a nightmare
19. I feel there are already enough new homes being built in this area. Chudleigh Knighton does not have the infrastructure to support more.
20. How many new homes does CK need? Definitely not 40 never mind the proposed 60+ seeking permission for building on the over side of CK. What is happening about the climate action plan? What is the point of planting lots of trees etc but allowing green space built on? What about the current issues with traffic? Has the decision for these applications already been made and that is why the 30mph extensions are coming in. There are more than enough new builds within a short distance of CK, Chudliegh, Newton Abbot and Kingsteignton and Bovey Tracey are full of them. CK has been over developed already and when you say homes for local people that means CK not from anywhere in the south west. No new houses should be built in CK
21. It's better to have this site and some affordable homes provision than a load more private housing at the other end of the village. Just need to make sure the village benefits too in terms of infrastructure.
22. The village infrastructure is not big enough to support this development.
23. Rat run traffic through the village needs sorting first, any news homes need to be a fair split between owned and shared owned, to keep a diverse mix of ages and families in the community. New homes already proposed at Buckingham Farm.

24. Smaller cheaper one story properties needed
25. The infrastructure on chudleigh knighton wouldn't cope with anymore houses being built. The school wouldn't cope with any ore children attending. The roads through the village are too busy as it is. The village isn't big enough for anymore houses being built.
26. Not enough places for children in school means extra traffic in village and tsking away more of the beutiful village gor houses the villages is to small to support
27. The traffic in this small village is already too much and going to fast. Another 40 houses and 80? Cars, right opposite a play park is ridiculously stupid and dangerous. The school can't take anymore children, it's nearly at full capacity. The church can no longer hold the school services due to overcapacity for school events already. Parents have had to miss out on important milestones, this is a village, not a town. The infrastructure to support all these new residents is not there, consider supporting your current redisents before welcoming more new builds, more traffic, more air pollution next to landfill that we already have to endure and less green space.
28. Not enough crossing or local facilities for more homes.
29. Too many houses in one development. Use of green fields, poor access onto village roads. Already have new houses towards Pitt which have been approved. No infrastructure mentioned, or affordable houses. What about older folk housing so they can move from the big houses.
30. Our current facilities in the school won't cope. Our school is already full, the preschool is also very busy. The roads in and around the village are already extremely busy. People drive past Apple Tree too fast already, extra traffic will not help this in the slightest.